



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/540,235	04/03/00	FISHER		D	38-21 (15749)
-		HM22/1106	\neg		EXAMINER
LAWRENCE M LAVIN JR. ESQ			HARTTER, A		
MONSANTO/GD		- A1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PATENT DEPAI P O BOX 5110 CHICAGO IL (0	NHL.		1631 DATE MAILED:	
					11/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/540,235	FISHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amy Hartter	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second second second for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute. Cause the application to be seen A.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	llowance except for formal mander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		he Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	een received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1631

Detailed Action

Applicants' election of Sequence ID No. 1 with traverse is acknowledged. The Applicants argue that since the USPTO has previously endorsed the sequence searching of ten sequences, then the searching of such sequences is not burdensome. The Applicants also argue in their traversal that the sequences should not be restricted due to their being in the same class/subclass. Although it is not applicable to divide separate sequences into different classes/subclasses that does not negate the point that in having these separate sequences the Applicants are claiming multiple products and thus multiple inventions leading to the restriction. The number of sequences for search has been reduced for the purpose of reducing the burden, as after closer examination it has been found that the searching of ten sequences is too time consuming and therefore a burden on the Office. Therefore this restriction is made final.

Written Description

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is made in view of the inadequacy of definition of the algal proteins in claims 1-2 and the definition of a homologue in claim 3. It is not made clear by the Applicants whether the fragment that is addressed in Claims1-2 would necessarily be composed of the algal entity due to

Art Unit: 1631

its being a fragment. Furthermore for Claim 3 the term of homologue is not clearly defined. The Applicants attempt to define the nature of a protein homologue on page 25 of the specification but a similar attempt is not made for the nucleic acid homologues that are claimed.

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and § 112, ¶ 1

The pending claims have been reviewed in light of the Utility Examination

Guidelines and Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications under 35 U.S.C. 112,

first paragraph, "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4,

pages 1092-1111, Friday, January 5, 2001.

The examiner is using the following definitions in evaluating the claims for utility.

"Specific" - A utility that is *specific* to the subject matter claimed. This contrasts with a *general* utility that would be applicable to the broad class of the invention.

"Substantial" - A utility that defines a "real world" use. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utilities.

"Credible" - Credibility is assessed from the perspective of one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the disclosure and any other evidence of record that is probative of the Application/Control Number: 09/540,235

Art Unit: 1631

applicant's assertions. That is, the assertion is an inherently unbelievable undertaking or involves implausible scientific principles.

"Well-established" - a specific, substantial, and credible utility which is well known, immediately apparent, or implied by the specification's disclosure of the properties of a material, alone or taken with the knowledge of one skilled in the art.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility due to its not being supported by either specific and/or substantial utility or a well-established utility.

The claimed nucleic acids are not supported by a specific asserted utility because the disclosed uses of these compositions are not specific and are generally applicable to any nucleic acid. The specification states that the nucleic acid compounds may be useful as markers, the isolation of polypeptides, hybridization probes, primers, the isolation of full-length cDNAs or genes, which would be used to make protein and optionally further usage for mapping and numerous other generic genetic engineering usages, as well as genetic therapy, such as antisense usage. In fact, the specification summarized modern biotechnology generally but never connects any of the specifically

Application/Control Number: 09/540,235 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

elected sequences to any particular or specific utility. This wish list desire for a utility for the claimed sequences falls short of a readily available utility. Similarly, protein may be used for detection of expression, antibody production, Western blots, etc. These are non-specific uses that are applicable to nucleic acid(s) and/or proteins in general and not particular or specific to the nucleic acids being claimed.

Further, the claimed nucleic acids are not supported by a substantial utility because no substantial utility has been established for the claimed subject matter. For example, a nucleic acid may be utilized to obtain a protein. The protein could then be used in conducting research to functionally characterize the protein. The need for such research clearly indicates that the protein and/or its function is not disclosed as to a currently available or substantial utility. A starting material that can only be used to produce a final product does not have substantial asserted utility in those instances where the final product is not supported by a specific and substantial utility. In this case none of the proteins that are to be produced as final products resulting from processes involving claimed nucleic acid have asserted or identified specific and substantial utilities. The research contemplated by applicant(s) to characterize potential protein products, especially their biological activities, does not constitute a specific and substantial utility. Identifying and studying the properties of a protein itself or the mechanisms in which the protein is involved does not define a "real world" context or use. Similarly, the other listed and asserted utilities as summarized above or in the instant specification are neither substantial nor specific due to being generic in nature and applicable to a myriad of such compounds. Note, because the claimed invention is

Application/Control Number: 09/540,235

Art Unit: 1631

not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility for the reasons set forth above, credibility has not been assessed. Neither the specification as filed nor any art of record discloses or suggests any property or activity for the nucleic acid and/or protein compound(s) such that another non-asserted utility would be well established for the compounds.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

No claim is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from this examiner should be directed to Amy Hartter whose telephone number is (703) 305-1696. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached at (703) 305-4028. The fax phone numbers for the group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Patent Analyst, Kim Davis, whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 09/540,235

Art Unit: 1631

3015 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0196.

Amy Hartter

(703) 305-1696

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 7